

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aaa to \$365M Massachusetts CTF bonds and Aa1 to \$287.9M of ABP GANs; \$100M of outstanding ABP GANs upgraded to Aa1 from Aa2

Global Credit Research - 29 Oct 2013

Aaa ratings on \$988.6M of outstanding CTF bonds and Aa1 ratings on \$367.8M senior GANs also affirmed; outlook stable

MASSACHUSETTS (COMMONWEALTH OF)
State Governments (including Puerto Rico and US Territories)
MA

Moody's Rating

ISSUE		RATING
Commonwealth Transportation Fund Revenue Bonds (Accelerated Bridge Program) 2013 Series A		Aaa
Sale Amount	\$365,000,000	
Expected Sale Date	11/05/13	
Rating Description	Special Tax: Transportation-Related	
Federal Highway Grant Anticipation Notes (Accelerated Bridge Program) 2013 Series A		Aa1
Sale Amount	\$287,865,000	
Expected Sale Date	11/06/13	
Rating Description	Special Tax: Transportation-Related	

Moody's Outlook

Opinion

NOTE: On October 30, 2013, the report was revised as follows: Sub-headline corrected to: Aaa ratings on \$988.6M of outstanding CTF bonds and Aa1 ratings on \$367.8M senior GANs also affirmed; outlook stable. Corrected expected sale date for the Commonwealth Transportation Fund Revenue Bonds (Accelerated Bridge Program) 2013 Series A to 11/5/2013. Corrected expected sale date for the Federal Highway Grant Anticipation Notes (Accelerated Bridge Program) 2013 Series A to 11/6/2013.

NEW YORK, October 29, 2013 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aaa rating to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' \$365 million Commonwealth Transportation Fund Revenue Bonds (Accelerated Bridge Program) 2013 Series A and affirmed the Aaa ratings assigned to \$988.6 million of outstanding parity debt. We have also assigned a Aa1 rating to the commonwealth's \$287.9 million Federal Highway Grant Anticipation Notes (Accelerated Bridge Program) 2013 Series A and have upgraded \$100 million of outstanding Accelerated Bridge Program grant anticipation notes (GANs) to Aa1 from Aa2. Concurrently, we have affirmed the Aa1 ratings assigned to \$367.8 million of outstanding senior GANs, with a final maturity of June 15, 2015. Proceeds of the new bonds, scheduled to price the week of November 4, will be used to help finance the Accelerated Bridge Program, the commonwealth's five-year plan to repair or replace 550 structurally deficient bridges.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Commonwealth Transportation Fund (CTF) rating reflects strong bondholder protections that include a 4 times additional bonds test; 4 times nonimpairment covenant; good historical performance of the pledged revenue sources and strong coverage of maximum annual debt service (12.7 times of the outstanding bonds and the current issue, 10.1 times including all planned issuance through fiscal 2015). The rating also reflects the need for the pledged revenues to be appropriated annually for debt service, balanced by a mechanism that prohibits their

use for any purpose unless that appropriation is made, and the segregation of the pledged revenues from general obligation bondholders.

The upgrade to Aa1 of the Federal Highway Grant Anticipation Notes (ABP GANs) reflects the strong coverage provided by a subordinate lien on Massachusetts' federal highway reimbursements (after the senior lien GANs) and a pledge of excess CTF revenues, and the strong bondholder protections provided by a two-pronged additional bonds test. The upgrade also reflects the closed lien of the senior GANs and the one-year advanced set aside of debt service on those bonds that will result in the final payment for those bonds being on deposit with the trustee on June 15, 2014, after which the ABP GANs will have a first claim on federal highway reimbursements.

STRENGTHS

-- For the CTF bonds, a strong additional bonds test that requires 4 times coverage of maximum annual debt service and the commonwealth's covenant not to alter the pledged revenues if it would result in maximum annual debt service coverage of less than 4 times

-- For the CTF bonds, the deposit and segregation of the pledged revenues with the bond trustee and a legal structure that provides sufficient insulation of them from the competing claims of the commonwealth's general obligation bondholders

-- For the CTF bonds, strong debt service coverage provided by the pledged gas taxes and motor vehicle-related fees

-- For the ABP GANs, good coverage provided by a pledge of Massachusetts' federal highway reimbursements that will become senior in June 2014 when the final trustee set-aside of debt service for the senior GANs is made

-- For the ABP GANs, additional strong coverage provided by a subordinate pledge of CTF funds

CHALLENGES

-- For the CTF bonds, the need for annual legislative appropriation for debt service, although that is balanced by a mechanism that prohibits the use of the pledged funds for any other purpose unless that appropriation is made and the separation of the pledged revenues from general obligation bondholders

-- For the CTF bonds, the aggregate limitation on debt secured by the pledged revenues is statutory and could be increased going forward

-- For the ABP GANs, the 1.5 times prong of the additional bonds test related to federal funds is relatively low

-- For the ABP GANs, periodic reauthorization risk inherent in the federal program and uncertainty about the form of future federal highway aid programs

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

CTF REVENUE BONDS AND ABP GANS ISSUED TO HELP FINANCE STATEWIDE BRIDGE RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT

The CTF bonds and Federal Highway Grant Anticipation Notes (ABP GANs) are being issued as part of the commonwealth's Accelerated Bridge Program. The program is a five-year, \$2.9 billion effort to repair or replace 550 structurally deficient bridges throughout the state through fiscal 2016. The current sale is the third issuance of CTF bonds and the second issuance of ABP GANs.

CTF BONDS SECURED BY A DIVERSE STREAM OF TRANSPORTATION-RELATED TAXES AND FEES; ABP GANS SECURED BY FEDERAL HIGHWAY REIMBURSEMENTS AND EXCESS CTF REVENUES

The CTF bonds are secured by a broad mix of transportation-related taxes and fees. The bonds have a first lien pledge on 73% of the commonwealth's 24 cent fuel excise tax, collected on each gallon of gasoline (other than aviation fuel), 100% of the tax collected on special fuels and liquefied gas sold in Massachusetts, and 100% of motor carrier taxes. Additionally, the bonds are secured by a first lien pledge of a variety of motor vehicle license, registration and title fees (commonly referred to as Registrar of Motor Vehicles or "RMV" fees). After debt service is paid on the commonwealth's outstanding 1994 Trust Indenture Special Obligation Revenue Bonds (senior and subordinate bonds both closed with final maturities in 2023, and rated Aa1/stable), the CTF bonds also are backed by the excess of 6.86 cents of the gasoline fuels excise. Combined, fuels excise taxes account for 59% of the pledged revenues and motor vehicle fees are 41%. Additionally, the federal Build America Bond and Recovery

Zone Development Bond interest subsidies received in conjunction with the 2010 issuance (which total a maximum of \$12.3 million annually) are pledged to the bonds.

Massachusetts increased its gas tax from 21 cents per gallon to 24 cents effective August 1, 2013 and is moderate compared to its northeast neighbors. Starting January 1, 2015, it will also be adjusted for increases in CPI. Both the 3 cent increase and any future CPI adjusted amounts have been pledged to the bonds. A voter initiative petition has been filed to eliminate the CPI adjustment and if enough signatures are collected by December 4, 2013 it will be submitted to the legislature. If the legislature fails to enact the measure, it would go to voters in November 2014. Even if approved then, the legislature can amend or repeal it.

RMV fees were increased in fiscal 2003 and again in fiscal 2009. Historical performance of the pledged revenues is good. Consumer responses to changes in gas prices over the long term is relatively inelastic, although during fiscal 2006 the taxes and fees that are now the pledged CTF revenues declined by 4.7%, the largest decrease since fiscal 1996. During the more recent economic downturn, collections of motor fuels taxes declined by 2.8% in fiscal 2009 while RMV fees increased by 1.7%, equaling a decline in total pledged revenues of 1.1%. Since then, the pledged revenues have recovered well, collections increasing by 7.9%, 1.4% and 1.2% in fiscal years 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively. For fiscal 2013, the CTF pledged revenues are up slightly at 0.3%. Year-to-date, gas tax collections are up 17.9% when compared to the same period last year. Fiscal 2013 registry fees increased 2.7% from the prior fiscal year and fiscal 2014 projections indicate a 0.7% increase.

The ABP GANs are secured by a subordinate lien on federal reimbursements, and a backup pledge of excess CTF funds. Additionally, any federal interest rate subsidy received is pledged. If federal reimbursements are not expected to provide 1.5 times coverage of debt service, the governor required to request an appropriation from excess CTF funds to cure the deficiency. If no appropriation is made by the legislature, the trustee is prohibited from releasing CTF funds to the commonwealth for operations. As long as projected federal reimbursements meet the 1.5 times test, the indenture also requires that funds sufficient for the succeeding year's debt service be set-aside by the trustee one year in advance of each of the December 15 and June 15 debt service payment dates.

PLEGGED REVENUES PROVIDE STRONG DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE

The CTF pledged revenues provide strong debt service coverage. Based on projected fiscal 2014 CTF pledged revenues and debt service on the outstanding bonds and the current issue, coverage of maximum annual debt service (MADS) is 12.1 times. Using the same projected fiscal 2014 revenue and based on MADS on the full planned \$1.7 billion of CTF issuance (the outstanding and current issues, plus planned issues through 2015) coverage is a strong 9.6 times, indicating that even with higher leverage the pledged revenues can withstand another economic downturn and still maintain healthy coverage.

Massachusetts' federal highway aid obligation authority - the total amount of apportioned federal highway aid that the commonwealth can use in any fiscal year - increased through 2011 but has declined since then, totaling \$586 million in 2012, 8.8% less than the prior year.

For the ABP GANs, MADs coverage is 9.3 times based solely on federal funds and 23.9 times based solely on excess CTF funds (counting BAB subsidies as revenue and using gross debt service). Coverage remains healthy even in reasonable stress scenarios.

Concurrent with the senior refunding issue and the initial issue of CTF bonds in 2010, the senior GAN lien was closed and the final maturity is in June 2015 (with the final debt service set aside made in June 2014). Additional subordinate GANs may be issued subject to a two pronged additional bonds test that requires 1.5 times coverage of MADs by federal reimbursements for both the senior and subordinate GANs, and 2.5 times coverage by excess CTF revenues.

CTF REVENUES ARE SEGREGATED FROM COMPETING CLAIMS; ADDITIONAL BONDHOLDER PROTECTIONS INCLUDE STRONG ADDITIONAL BONDS TEST AND COMMONWEALTH NONIMPAIRMENT COVENANT

The CTF is one of the commonwealth's two major budgeted funds (the General Fund is the other), and makes essentially all of its transportation expenditures from it. The Massachusetts constitution limits the use of transportation-related revenues to transportation purposes, which could be interpreted broadly in certain circumstances. In our opinion, however, the CTF revenues are adequately enough segregated from competing claims, including those of general obligation bondholders, to result in a rating one notch higher than the commonwealth's general obligation rating of Aa1/stable.

That separation is based on several factors. The authorizing statute under which the bonds are issued, the Special Obligation Act, permits the state treasurer to enter into a trust agreement and to pledge the CTF revenues and all right and title to them to bondholders, a pledge the act says is perfected. The pledged revenues are collected by the commonwealth and, as required by the trust agreement, transferred by the state treasurer to the trustee monthly (within ten days of the end of the month) for deposit into the Revenue Account. That account is subject to the pledge created by the master trust and cannot be used for any other purpose until debt service on the CTF bonds has been paid.

Immediately after receiving the monthly transfer of CTF funds from the state treasurer, the trustee is required to make a deposit into the Debt Service Fund equal to one-fifth interest and one-tenth principal. Like general obligation bonds in Massachusetts, the use of CTF funds for debt service requires annual legislative appropriation, and as long as one is made, the trust agreement permits excess CTF revenues to be released to the commonwealth for operating uses by its department of transportation (MassDOT). If no debt service appropriation is made, the trustee is prohibited from releasing any CTF revenues, precluding their use for any other purpose and giving Massachusetts strong incentive to authorize use of the funds.

The master trust agreement also includes other strong bondholder protections. The additional bonds test (ABT) requires the pledged revenues to equal 4 times maximum annual debt service on outstanding bonds and the planned issuance in any 12 of the prior 18 months prior to issuance. A strong nonimpairment covenant allows the commonwealth to reduce the pledged revenue tax rates or base but only if that action would continue to result in at least 4 times coverage of maximum annual debt service. Inclusion of the BAB subsidies received as part of the 2010 issue in the pledged revenues somewhat dilutes those protections but not materially; they are still strong relative to similar credits and the ability to issue new bonds under the BAB program has expired.

OUTLOOK

The outlook for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Commonwealth Transportation Fund bonds and Federal Highway Grant Anticipation Notes is stable. For the CTF bonds, the outlook reflects the strong legal structure of the bonds and strong debt service coverage. For the ABP GANs, the outlook reflects expected levels of federal reimbursements and the backup pledge of CTF funds.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

-- For the ABP GANs, changes in legal structure that could include stronger limitations on CTF leverage at the senior and subordinate level

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

-- For the CTF bonds, additional leverage or decline in the pledged revenues that materially weakens debt service coverage

-- For the CTF bonds, nonappropriation of funds for debt service

-- For the ABP GANs, a significant decline in federal reimbursements or obligation authority, or overall changes in the federal highway aid program that materially impact the funds that flow to Massachusetts

-- For the ABP GANs, large leverage of the CTF or material weakening in the CTF revenues that reduce backup coverage

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Public Finance Special Tax Methodology published in March 2012. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner

that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Nicholas Samuels
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

John Lombardi
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT

RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of

section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.